UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	C	<u>arlos G</u>	uzman-Buelna	_ Case Nu						
	ordance tablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention he	earing has	s been held.	. I conclude	e that the fo	ollowing facts	
X	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.									
		preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending in this case.								
			PAI	RT I FINDINGS OF	FACT					
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is					en a federal			
			a crime of violence as define	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3156	S(a)(4).					
			an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in							
			a felony that was committed described in 18 U.S.C. § 314	after the defendant had 2(f)(1)(A)-(C), or com	ad been o	convicted of state or loca	two or mo	re prior fed	eral offenses	
	(2)		offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, or local offense.							
	(3)		eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from risonment) for the offense described in finding 1.							
	(4)	reason	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will onably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not ted this presumption.							
				Alternative Finding	s					
☒	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe t	at the defendant has	committe	ed an offens	e '	21 050	,952,960,96	
		X	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in $\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$						841 2	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)							
X	(2)							ombination of community.		
				Alternative Finding	s					
风	(1)		ere is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably as appearance of the defendant as required.							
	(2)	No cor	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.							
	(3)		here is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate prospective witness or juror).							
	(4)									

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

\	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:						
		The defendant was specied at he San Lys Port of Frity with \$1.25 Kg of methomphetamine t 6.75 kg of heroin Concealed y the Wehicle he was driving. He admited knowledge of the tact that some type of illegal drugs were in the wehicle.						
	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:						
	区	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.						
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.						
	×	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.						
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.						
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.						
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.						
	M	The defendant lives a nd works in Mexico.						
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.						
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.						
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.						
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of						
7	The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:						
								
4	In ad	dition: The defendants social history is maknown +						
	<u></u>	nverified!						
		and the Court of the						

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: October 17, 2011

JAY R. IRWIN
United States Magistrate Judge